



An evidence-based guide to PIVCs

Helping to enable better, smarter and safer clinical practice and outcomes when using peripheral intravenous catheters





Prioritize your peripheral intravenous catheters

Peripheral intravenous catheters (often abbreviated as PIVCs, PIVs or PVCs) are commonly used vascular access devices that deliver vital fluids and medications to patients across care settings. They're critical for patient care, but they can also come with risks. PIVC complications can have significant impacts on quality of life, morbidity, mortality and treatment expenses, particularly when coupled with increased length of hospital stay.

Placement of a PIVC is one of the most common invasive medical procedures performed worldwide. It may also be one of the greatest sources of patient dissatisfaction, as well as patient and nurse anxiety.⁵

Even though the reported incidence of bloodstream infections (BSIs) is lower in PIVCs compared to central venous catheters (CVCs), PIVCs are much more commonly used. The risk of PIVC-BSIs is high because of the high number of patients undergoing PIVC insertion.^{3,4}

This guide and references are intended to be used as a resource for all members of the healthcare team involved in the assessment and management of PIVCs. It should be used in conjunction with good clinical practice. It is not intended for patient diagnosis or treatment.

1. Claire M. Rickard, Joan Webster, Marianne C. Wallis, et al, "Routine versus clinically indicated replacement of peripheral intravenous catheters: A randomized controlled equivalence trial," *The Lancet* 380, no. 9847 (2012): 1066-1074, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(12\)61082-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(12)61082-4).
2. Brenda Ansel, Michelle Boyce, and Jennifer L. Embree, "Extending short peripheral catheter dwell time: A best practice discussion," *Journal of Infusion Nursing* 40, no. 3 (2017): 143-146, <https://doi.org/10.1097/NAN.0000000000000137>.
3. Akihiro Sato, Itaru Nakamura, Hiroaki Fujita, Ayaka Tsukimori, Takehito Kobayashi, Shinji Fukushima, Takeshi Fujii, and Tetsuya Matsumoto, "Peripheral venous catheter-related bloodstream infection is associated with severe complications and potential death: A retrospective observational study," *BMC Infectious Diseases* 17, no. 434 (2017), <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12879-017-2536-0>.
4. Dennis G. Maki, Daniel M. Kluger, and Christopher J. Crnich, "The risk of bloodstream infection in adults with different intravascular devices: A systematic review of 200 published prospective studies," *Mayo Clinic Proceedings* 81, no. 9 (2006): 1159-1171, <https://doi.org/10.4065/81.9.1159>.
5. Randall K. Jones, "Short peripheral catheter quality and economics: The intravenous quotient," *Journal of Infusion Nursing* 41, no. 6 (2018): 365-371, <https://doi.org/10.1097/NAN.0000000000000303>.

PIVCs are not innocuous


CVCs often get more attention, but the cumulative duration of PIVC insertion is 15 times greater.^{3,4}

Here are a few reasons to focus on PIVC maintenance:



The additional cost for treating a catheter-related bloodstream infection (CRBSI) per patient in intensive care unit (ICU) can range between

\$24,410-\$37,196^{6,7}



Up to 70%

of patients receive a PIV catheter during their hospital stay⁸



Even in major clinical centers with dedicated IV teams performing careful prospective randomised studies, the mean PIV catheter failure rate ranges between

46%-59%⁹



+LOS 7-20

additional days in the hospital due to complications and infections⁹



The 30-day mortality in non-ICU patients with nosocomial vascular catheter related bloodstream infections linked with PIVCs was

12.7%²

Common reasons why PIVCs fail

Knowing why PIVCs fail can help care teams identify risks and areas where additional support is needed. Data shows that these three causes can lead to or contribute to PIVC failure.



1. Caregiver's skills during insertion, maintenance and care.



2. Technology used, such as catheter material, dressing, securement device and add-ons.



3. Intrinsic patient factors, such as comorbidities, body's response and activity.

The five modes of PIVC failure⁹

When PIVCs do fail, these are the most common complications patients face.

Complication	Range	Mean	Median
Catheter-related infection	0.0%-0.44%	0.2%	0.2%
Catheter-related phlebitis	0.1%-63.3%	15.4%	9.0%
Catheter mechanical failure	2.5%-32.7%	18.8%	22.8%
Catheter dislodgement	3.7%-9.9%	6.9%	7.0%
Catheter infiltration	15.7%-33.8%	23.9%	22.2%

Prospective randomized controlled studies, 1990-2014.


6. R. Leistner, E. Hirsemann, A. Bloch, P. Gastmeier, and C. Geffers, "Costs and prolonged length of stay of central venous catheter-associated bloodstream infections (CVC BSI): A matched prospective cohort study," *Infection* 42, no. 1 (2014): 31-36, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s15010-013-0494-z>.

7. M. Trautmann and J. Saatkamp, "Cost-effectiveness analysis of an antimicrobial transparent dressing for catheter insertion sites on intensive care units," *Hygiene & Medizin - Infection Control and Healthcare* 41, no. 5 (2016): D65-D70.

8. Walter Zingg and Didier Pittet, "Peripheral venous catheters: An under-evaluated problem," *International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents* 34, no. Suppl 4 (2009): S38-S42, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0924-8579\(09\)70565-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0924-8579(09)70565-5).


9. Robert E. Helm, Jeffrey D. Klausner, John D. Klemperer, Lori M. Flint, and Emily Huang, "Accepted but unacceptable: Peripheral IV catheter failure," *Journal of Infusion Nursing* 38, no. 3 (2015): 189-203, <https://doi.org/10.1097/nan.0000000000000100>.

Signs and symptoms of PIVC complications¹⁰⁻¹⁵




Phlebitis

- Inflammation of the vein wall that can be accompanied by edema, pain and erythema near the catheter insertion site or along the affected vein, sometimes progressing to a palpable venous cord, intense redness, tenderness and fever¹⁶




Infiltration and extravasation

- Pain, stinging or burning sensations, edema, blanching, blistering and discoloration of the skin
- Excessive fluid in one or more compartments of the arm, damage to nerves, arteries and muscles
- Tight, cool skin with swelling around the insertion site



PIVC-related venous thrombosis

- Pain, edema, venous engorgement and/or difficulty moving the affected extremity or shoulder, neck or chest
- Decreased blood oxygen levels, shortness of breath, racing heartbeat, pressure on the heart, low blood pressure and chest pain



Catheter-associated bloodstream infection (CABSI)

- Erythema, edema, pain, tenderness, induration and/or site drainage (may be purulent)
- Skin breakdown
- Body temperature elevation

10. Barbara Nickel, Lisa Gorski, Tricia Kleidon, et al. "Infusion Therapy Standards of Practice, 9th Edition," Journal of Infusion Nursing 47, no. Suppl 1 (2024): S1-S285, <https://doi.org/10.1097/NAN.0000000000000532>.
11. Jung Tae Kim, Jeong Yun Park, Hyun Jung Lee, and Young Ju Cheon, "Guidelines for the management of extravasation," Journal of Educational Evaluation for Health Professions 17 (2020): 21, <https://doi.org/10.3352/jeehp.2020.17.21>.
12. "Vessel Health and Preservation: The Right Approach for Vascular Access," Edited by Nancy L. Moureau, Springer Open, 2019. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-03149-7>.
13. Natalie S. Evans and Elizabeth V. Ratchford, "Catheter-related venous thrombosis," Vascular Medicine 23, no. 4 (2018): 411-413, <https://doi.org/10.1177/1358863X18779695>.
14. Elizabeth A. Mattox, "Complications of peripheral venous access devices: Prevention, detection, and recovery strategies," Critical Care Nurse 37, no. 2 (2017): e1-e14, <https://doi.org/10.4037/ccn2017657>.
15. Darcy Doellman, Lynn Hadaway, Leigh Ann Bowe-Geddes, Michelle Franklin, Jack LeDonne, Lorelei Papke-O'Donnell, Janet Pettit, Lisa Schulmeister, and Marc Stranz, "Infiltration and extravasation: Update on prevention and management," Journal of Infusion Nursing 32, no. 4 (2009): 203-211, <https://doi.org/10.1097/NAN.0b013e3181aac042>.
16. Sookhee Lee, Kyunghye Kim, and Ji-Su Kim, "A model of phlebitis associated with peripheral intravenous catheters in orthopedic inpatients," International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health 16, no. 18 (2019): 3412, <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph16183412>.

Infiltration and extravasation prevention through infusate assessment

The first step in preventing infiltration and extravasation is the recognition of vesicant infusates.



Create an evidence-based list of vesicant infusates, cytotoxic and noncytotoxic vesicant medications/solutions.¹⁷




Address infiltration and extravasation prevention and management in policies and procedures.¹⁷



Develop an extravasation checklist that outlines risk reduction strategies, including early recognition of signs and symptoms.¹⁷

Visual infusion phlebitis assessment tool¹⁴

Below is a consolidation of two published studies^{18,19} that provide guidance on a visual rating system that can help you properly observe and treat phlebitis. View each study for complete information.



Signs:

0

Actions:

Observe cannula

Slight pain or redness at IV site

1

If two are evident: pain, erythema, swelling

2

Re-site cannula

All signs are evident: pain along cannula path, erythema, induration

3

Re-site cannula and consider treatment

All previous symptoms plus palpable venous cord

4

All previous symptoms plus pyrexia

5

Initiate treatment and re-site cannula



Following best practice guidance can help to reduce the risk of PIVC complications

While not intended to provide medical advice or replace facility protocols, this guide pulls from over 30 articles of clinical evidence to give your team more evidence-based PIVC education and information.

17. Nancy Moureau and Vineet Chopra, "Indications for peripheral, midline and central catheters: Summary of the MAGIC recommendations," *British Journal of Nursing* 25, no. 8 (2016): S15-S24, <https://doi.org/10.12968/bjon.2016.25.8.S15>.

18. A. Jackson, "Infection control: A battle in vein infusion phlebitis," *Nursing Times* 94, no. 4 (1998): 68-71.

19. Paulette Gallant and Alyce A. Schultz, "Evaluation of a visual infusion phlebitis scales for determining appropriate discontinuation of peripheral intravenous catheters," *Journal of Infusion Nursing* 29, no. 6 (2006): 338-345, <https://doi.org/10.1097/00129804-200611000-00004>.

Determining the right PIVC type and insertion method to use

The PIVC type you select should factor in the following considerations:

- ✓ Anticipated duration
- ✓ Prescribed therapy
- ✓ Patient age and comorbidities
- ✓ Vascular characteristics
- ✓ History of infusion therapy
- ✓ Available resources
- ✓ Preferences



Short peripheral intravenous catheter

For superficial peripheral veins, extremities, external jugular veins and scalp veins in neonates.¹⁰

Long peripheral intravenous catheter

For use when a short PIVC isn't long enough to be inserted into the patient's superficial or deep peripheral veins.¹⁰

Midline catheter

For use in the upper arm's peripheral veins or the scalp vein for neonates.¹⁰

Summary of PIVCs^{17,20–23}

Insertion method	Catheter type	Use	Dwell time	Description
PIVC inserted using direct visual approach	- Short (3–6 cm)²⁰ - Long (6–15 cm)²⁰	- Non-vesicant - Non-irritant - General purpose - Not intended for high osmolality and/or extreme pH (<5 or >9)^{21,22}	- Peripherally compatible solutions for five days or less - Removal when clinically indicated	- Very common - Low cost and lower infection risk - Placed by most healthcare professionals - Less invasive than central access
PIVC inserted using ultrasound-guided or infrared technology	- Long (6–15 cm)²⁰ - Midline (7.5–25 cm)²¹	- Contrast-based radiological studies requiring upper extremity access - Not intended for high osmolality and/or extreme pH (<5 or >9)^{21,22}	Less than five days for long PIVCs, more than five days and less than 14 days for midline catheters	- Use after multiple failed attempts - Incorporate if there's an inability to identify veins - For difficult intravenous access (DIVA)
Midline catheter inserted using ultrasound-guided technology	Midline (7.5–25 cm)²³	- Non-vesicant - Non-irritant - DIVA patients - Not intended for high osmolality and/or extreme pH (<5 or >9)^{21,22}	- Equal or less than 14 days - Removal when clinically indicated	- Single-lumen midline is preferred - For DIVA patients despite ultrasound-guided PIVC attempts

20. Kirby R, Qin, Nicholas Ensor, Richard Barnes, Anna Englin, Ramesh M. Nataraja, and Maurizio Pacilli, "Long peripheral catheters for intravenous access in adults and children: A systematic review of the literature," *Journal of Vascular Access* 22, no. 5 (2020): 767-777, <https://doi.org/10.1177/1129729820927272>.

21. Lee Steere, Cheryl Ficara, Michael Davis, and Nancy Moureau, "Reaching one peripheral intravenous catheter (PIVC) per patient visit with lean multimodal strategy: The PIV5Rights™ Bundle," *The Journal of the Association for Vascular Access* 24, no. 3 (2020): 31-43, <https://www.doi.org/10.2309/j.java.2019.003.004>.

22. Mauro Pittiruti, Ton Van Boxtel, Giancarlo Scoppettuolo, et al, "European recommendations on the proper indication and use of peripheral venous access devices (the ERPIUP consensus): A WoCoVA project," *The Journal of Vascular Access* 24, no. 1 (2021): 165-182, <https://doi.org/10.1177/11297298211023274>.

23. Vineet Chopra, Scott A. Flanders, Sanjay Saint, et al, "The Michigan Appropriateness Guide for Intravenous Catheters (MAGIC): Results from a multispecialty panel using the RAND/UCLA Appropriateness Method," *Annals of Internal Medicine* 163, no. 6 Suppl (2015): S1-S40, <https://doi.org/10.7326/M15-0744>.

Consider a bundled approach to help reduce the risk of PIVC complications

You can't mitigate every risk factor. However, you can consider a bundled, best practice approach to PIVC care. Bundles are straightforward sets of evidence-based practices. When performed collectively and reliably, bundles have been shown to help improve patient outcomes.²⁴

Given the success of bundles in helping to prevent BSIs in CVCs, bundles have also been promoted for PIVC use.^{21,25,26} With more than 2,500 cited references and 120 reviewers from 14 countries, the Infusion Nurses Society's *Infusion Therapy Standards of Practice* provides a solid foundation to help develop PIVC insertion, maintenance and removal bundles.



Insertion bundle

- 1 **All PIVC insertions** should be done by a care team trained in these practices, and include documentation, care planning and patient education.¹⁰
- 2 **Use a specific and comprehensive aseptic technique** when manipulating catheter hubs, connectors, stopcocks and associated equipment. Prepare the procedure tray and protect it from touch contamination.¹⁰
- 3 **Remove excess hair** around the site of insertion with single-use scissors or surgical clippers with a disposable head.¹⁰
- 4 **Perform skin antisepsis** using a single-use sterile applicator containing 2% chlorhexidine gluconate in 70% isopropyl alcohol, following the manufacturer's instructions for use.¹⁰
- 5 **Select the appropriate catheter type** and insertion site for the prescribed therapy and patient. Avoid the wrist and areas of flexion.¹⁰
- 6 **Correctly apply the appropriate securement dressing**, securement device, short extension set and needleless connector.¹⁰

Additional considerations:

- Do not touch PIVC or associated equipment and do not re-palpate the insertion site after skin antisepsis unless you are wearing sterile gloves and have performed proper hand hygiene
Please reference "Crucial hand hygiene moments" below
- Personal protection (gloves, mask and apron) is required anytime you are touching or manipulating PIVCs and related equipment
- Dispose of sharp immediately after its removal into a biohazard container that cannot be tampered with or punctured
- Flush the PIVC with sodium chloride 0.9% for intravenous use

Consider dressings containing chlorhexidine gluconate for PIVCs, as noted in recommendations from The Association for Professionals in Infection Control and Epidemiology (APIC) (see chart on page 10).

Crucial hand hygiene moments²⁷

The World Health Organization (WHO) provides hand hygiene recommendations that should be incorporated into patient care. Below is an abbreviated list with full recommendations available on the WHO website.



24. Roger Resar, Peter Pronovost, Carol Haraden, Terri Simmonds, Thomas Rainey, and Thomas Nolan, "Using a bundle approach to improve ventilator care processes and reduce ventilator-associated pneumonia," *The Joint Commission Journal on Quality and Patient Safety* 31, no. 5 (2005): 243-248, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1553-7250\(05\)31031-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1553-7250(05)31031-2).

25. Mary Duncan, Patricia Warden, Stéphanie F. Bernatchez, and Dan Morse, "A bundled approach to decrease the rate of primary bloodstream infections related to peripheral intravenous catheters," *The Journal of the Association for Vascular Access* 23, no. 1 (2018): 15-22, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.java.2017.07.004>.

26. Gillian Ray-Barruel, Hui Xu, Nicole Marsh, Marie Cooke, and Claire M. Rickard, "Effectiveness of insertion and maintenance bundles in preventing peripheral intravenous catheter-related complications and bloodstream infection in hospital patients: A systematic review," *Infection, Disease & Health* 24, no. 3 (2019): 152-168, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.idh.2019.03.001>.

27. World Health Organization, "A guide to the implementation of the WHO multimodal hand hygiene improvement strategy," February 9, 2009, <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/a-guide-to-the-implementation-of-the-who-multimodal-hand-hygiene-improvement-strategy>.



Maintenance bundle

Comprehensive, routine assessment, combined with a commitment to safety and quality, is important for the early detection and management of complications. With a maintenance bundle based on the following steps and considerations, you can help reduce the risk of catheter-related infections.¹⁰

Help empower patients by providing knowledge on treatments, tools, procedures, rationales and signs and symptoms of PIVC complications.

1

Assess the insertion site and surrounding skin daily. Check for dressing integrity, signs of local inflammation or skin injury. Some patient populations could require hourly assessments for continuous infusions.^{10,28}

2

Use appropriate gloves and maintain Aseptic Non Touch Technique (ANTT[®]) for catheter maintenance and dressing change.¹⁰

3

Prepare skin at each dressing change with 2% chlorhexidine gluconate in 70% alcohol following the manufacturer's instructions for use.¹⁰

4

Change and correctly apply the appropriate securement dressing, adhesive securement device, short extension set and needleless connector.¹⁰

5

For open female luer, needleless connector and vascular access entry points, disinfect in an active or passive, standardised manner each time the PIVC is accessed. Maintain high compliance and consistency.¹⁰

6

Re-site PIVCs when clinically indicated and not routinely unless the device-specific manufacturer's instructions indicate otherwise.¹⁰

Additional considerations:

- Implement a prospective surveillance process for complications. Assess regularly, based on patient population, therapy, risk factors and vascular access site. Implement checks to prevent unnecessary variation in practice
- Do not touch the PIVC or associated equipment unless you have performed proper hand hygiene. **Please reference "Crucial hand hygiene moments" under Insertion bundle section**
- Personal protection (gloves, mask and apron) is required when completing any interaction that requires touching or manipulation of the vascular access device (VAD) or associated equipment
- Prepare the procedure tray and protect it from touch contamination
- Dispose of sharp immediately after its removal into a biohazard container that cannot be tampered with or punctured
- Avoid disconnecting or disrupting the infusion unless clinically required
- Label administration set with the due date to change: 72 hours for fluid sets, 24 hours for TPN and 12 hours for blood

Consider dressings containing chlorhexidine gluconate for PIVCs, as noted in recommendations from The Association for Professionals in Infection Control and Epidemiology (APIC) (see chart on page 10).

Assessment form best practices

Not all vascular access device assessment forms are as comprehensive as they should be. Abbreviated below, the I-DECIDED[®] clinical tool²⁹⁻³¹ includes evidence-based prompts with corresponding actions to incorporate into your PIVC practice. Full recommendations are available in the study.



Is an IV present or has one been removed in the past 48 hours?

Does the IV infuse and/or flush well?

Are infection prevention protocols followed?

Are IV plans shared with the patient and family?

Is the IV needed?

Are there complications at the IV site?

Are the dressing and securement intact?

Is the decision documented?

Reprinted/used with permission by Griffith University.

28. Lisa A Gorski, Dora Hallock, Susan C. Kuehn, Phyllis Morris, Jean M. Russell, and Lisa C. Skala, "Recommendations for frequency of assessment of the short peripheral catheter site," *Journal of Infusion Nursing* 35, no. 5 (2015): 290-292, <https://doi.org/10.1097/NAN.0b013e318267f636>.

29. Gillian Ray-Barruel, Marie Cooke, Marion Mitchell, Vineet Chopra, and Claire M. Rickard, "Implementing the I-DECIDED[®] clinical decision-making tool for peripheral intravenous catheter assessment and safe removal: Protocol for an interrupted time-series study," *British Medical Journal Open* 8, no. 6 (2018): e021290, <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2017-021290>.

30. Gillian Ray-Barruel, Marie Cooke, Vineet Chopra, Marion Mitchell, and Claire M. Rickard, "The I-DECIDED[®] clinical decision-making tool for peripheral intravenous catheter assessment and safe removal: A clinimetric evaluation," *British Medical Journal Open* 10, no. 1 (2020): e035239, <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2019-035239>.

31. Gillian Ray-Barruel, "I-DECIDED[®]—a decision tool for assessment and management of invasive devices in the hospital setting," *British Journal of Nursing* 31, no. 8 (2022): S37-S43, <https://doi.org/10.12968/bjon.2022.31.8.S37>.



Removal bundle

Healthcare providers should be trained in PIVC removal processes, including identifying potential complications, appropriate clinical intervention or emergency measures as needed, and patient and caregiver education.¹⁰

Additional considerations:

- Always consider your institutional policy for PIVC removal and consult with trained care teams and patients as required

1

Replace PIVCs inserted under emergent conditions as soon as possible and no later than 48 hours.¹⁰

2

Remove PIVCs as soon as no longer clinically indicated.¹⁰

3

Phlebitis, infiltration, extravasation or signs of local or systemic infection should prompt PIVC removal.¹⁰

Impact of clinically indicated PIVC removal on health economics

According to a *Journal of Infusion Nursing* evidence-based practice study on before and after implementation, clinically indicated PIVC removal led to no significant PIVC-related infections³² along with the following outcomes:



70 hours

of nursing time saved over the course of three months

Could save

two million

hours annually³²



14.2%

decrease in PIVC use

Could prevent

six million

PIVC insertions annually³²



\$2,100

saved over the course of three months

Could save

\$60 million

annually³²

Guidelines and evidence about clinically indicated removal of PIVCs

Research is evolving regarding clinically indicated removal¹ with some organizations and guidelines, including the Infusion Nurses Society (INS), Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), Royal College of Nursing and epic3, starting to recommend clinically indicated removal.^{10,33-35} However, some studies have shown limited benefit, and therefore, more research is needed.^{36,37} Consult your organization and assess available resources before updating your practices regarding PIVC removal.

Organization	Recommendation
INS (2024) ¹⁰	Yes
CDC (2011) ³³	Pediatrics only
Royal College of Nursing (2016) ³⁴	Yes
epic3 (2014) ³⁵	Yes

32. Catherine Stevens, Kerry A. Milner, and Jennifer Trudeau, "Routine versus clinically indicated short peripheral catheter replacement: An evidence-based practice project," *Journal of Infusion Nursing* 41, no. 3 (2018): 198-204, <https://doi.org/10.1097/NAN.0000000000000281>.

33. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Naomi P. O'Grady, Mary Alexander, Lillian A. Burns, et al, "Guidelines for the Prevention of Intravascular Catheter-Related Infections, 2011," Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Emerging and Zoonotic Infectious Diseases, Division of Healthcare Quality and Promotion, <https://www.cdc.gov/infection-control/media/pdfs/Guideline-BSI-H.pdf>.

34. Andrea Denton, Andy Bodenham, Ann Conquest, et al, "Royal College of Nursing: Standards for Infusion Therapy, 2016. 4th ed.," London: RCN, <https://www.rcn.org.uk/-/media/Royal-College-Of-Nursing/Documents/Publications/2016/December/005702.pdf>.

35. H. P. Loveday, J. A. Wilson, R. J. Pratt, M. Golsorkhi, A. Tingle, A. Bak, J. Browne, J. Prieto, and M. Wilcox, "epic3: National evidence-based guidelines for preventing healthcare-associated infections in NHS hospitals in England," *The Journal of Hospital Infection* 86, no. Suppl 1 (2014): S1-S70, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0195-6701\(13\)60012-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0195-6701(13)60012-2).

36. Niccolò Buetti, Mohamed Abbas, Didier Pittet, Marlieke E. A. de Kraker, Daniel Teixeira, Marie-Noëlle Chraïti, Valérie Sauvan, Julien Sauser, Stephan Harbarth, and Walter Zingg, "Comparison of routine replacement with clinically indicated replacement of peripheral intravenous catheters," *JAMA Internal Medicine* 181, no. 11 (2021): 1471-1478, <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamainternmed.2021.5345>.

37. Joan Webster, Samantha Clarke, Dana Paterson, Anne Hutton, Stacey van Dyk, Catherine Gale, and Tracey Hopkins, "Routine care of peripheral intravenous catheters versus clinically indicated replacement: Randomised controlled trial," *British Medical Journal* 337, no. 7662 (2008): a339, <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.a339>.

Current PIVC clinical recommendations

	Recommendation	INS 2024 ¹⁰	CDC 2011/2017 ³³	APIC 2025 ³⁸
Prepare and assess 	Choose upper extremity for insertion	Forearm preferred for long and short length PIVCs	✓	✓
	Avoid areas of flexion	✓		✓
	Designate personnel with IV therapy education, training and competency	Infusion/vascular access specialists demonstrated lower PIVC complication rates	✓	✓
	Use smallest gauge indicated	✓		✓
Insertion 	Prepare skin with antiseptic, allow site to dry	Use an alcohol-based chlorhexidine gluconate (CHG) skin antiseptic	✓	Skin antisepsis identified as modifiable risk factor for CABS
	Practice aseptic technique	Do not palpate insertion site after skin antisepsis	Do not palpate insertion site after skin antisepsis	✓
Secure and protect 	Consider securement device options for advanced catheter stabilisation	✓	✓	✓
	Use a sterile, transparent, semi-permeable polyurethane dressing	✓	✓	Consider CHG-containing dressings for PIVCs
	Change dressing at least every seven days or sooner if compromised	✓	✓	✓
	Visually inspect insertion site at regular intervals	✓		✓
	Evaluate for adverse events regularly	✓		✓
	Disinfect injection port/access site	✓	✓	✓
	Ensure disinfecting supplies are readily available at the bedside to facilitate compliance	✓		✓
	Disinfect needleless connector and add-on devices with active or passive disinfection	✓		✓
Removal 	Assess daily and remove if no longer included in the plan of care or not used	✓		✓
	Remove PIV catheters when clinically indicated	✓		✓
	Remove emergently placed catheters as soon as possible, within 24–48 hours	✓	✓	✓

 Included in the INS 2024 recommendations
  Included in the CDC 2011/2017 recommendations
  Included in the APIC 2025 recommendations

38. DJ Shannon, Sean Lau, and Patti G. Grota, "Preventing Catheter-Associated Bloodstream Infections (CABS) in Adults," The Association for Professionals in Infection Control and Epidemiology (APIC), June 2025, https://apic.org/implementation_guide/new-guide-to-preventing-catheter-associated-bloodstream-infections-in-adults-2025/.

Elevating PIVC care through education, tools and support

Understanding and implementing these bundles can help you enable better, smarter and safer clinical practice and patient outcomes. As this guide explains, there are many ways you can help improve PIVC maintenance, including:



Being aware of the signs and symptoms of complications



Providing adequate training and education



Understanding interventions



Making documentation thorough and available to care teams



Assessing patients, insertion sites, skin condition, dressings, pain and devices often



Removing unnecessary catheters when treatment is complete and oral medications have been instituted¹⁰

A consistent approach is critical in helping to reduce complications, improve outcomes and enhance patient satisfaction. It can help you protect every IV line, every time. However, you don't have to do it alone.

We are here when you need help

This guide is just a start. Solventum is here to help you in your mission of achieving the best possible outcomes with:

- **Actionable resources**
- **Evidence-based products**
- **Training and education**

To learn more, connect with your Solventum Account Manager or visit Go.Solventum.com/PIVCare





Definitions of related terms

Catheter dislodgement

When a catheter moves into a suboptimal position out of or into the site of insertion. This may include partial dislodgement, where the tip remains within the vein but in a suboptimal location or total dislodgement, where the catheter is completely removed from the vein.¹⁰

Catheter failure

Unplanned removal of the vascular access device before the completion of intravenous therapy owing to any of the following complications: infiltration/extravasation, phlebitis, occlusion, partial or total dislodgement and local/systemic bloodstream infection.^{9,10}

Clinically indicated peripheral intravenous catheter (PIVC) replacement

Replacement of a PIVC only if there are clinical indications to do so. Clinical indications include occlusion, pain, redness, infiltration, phlebitis, swelling, leakage, accidental removal, suspected infection and when the therapy is completed or the catheter is no longer necessary for the plan of care.^{10,39,40}

Irritant

An agent that can cause burning, discomfort, stinging or other pain. This can be caused by irrigation in the internal lumen of the vein. There might not be visible signs of vein inflammation externally right away.¹⁰

Necrosis

Unprogrammed form of cell death that occurs in response to overwhelming chemical or physical tissue insult (e.g., chemical stress, extreme temperature, pressure, toxins, hypoxia, loss of blood supply and osmotic shock).⁴¹

Non-peripherally compatible

Do not use short PIVC, long PIVC or midline catheters for repeated or prolonged (>30 minutes) administration of solutions that are not peripherally compatible (e.g., irritants, vesicant, parental nutrition >850mOsm/L).²²

Non-vesicant

Solutions or medications that do not cause tissue damage if accidentally delivered into the tissue right under the skin; however, large amounts of non-vesicant can contribute to tissue damage through compartment syndrome. It wouldn't cause tissue destruction or result in blistering and necrotic tissue.¹⁰

Peripherally compatible solutions

Solutions with pH 5-9; drugs or solutions with osmolarity <600mOsm/L; parental nutrition with osmolarity <800-850mOsm/L; any drug or solution not associated with potential endothelial damage.²²

Vesicant

An agent that can cause tissue damage if it exists in the surrounding tissue, outside of the intended vascular pathway.¹⁰

39. Joan Webster, Sonya Osborne, Claire M. Rickard, and Nicole Marsh, "Clinically indicated replacement versus routine replacement of peripheral venous catheters," *The Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 1, no. 1 (2019): CD007798, <https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD007798.pub5>.

40. Haitham W. Tuffaha, Claire M. Rickard, Joan Webster, Nicole Marsh, Louisa Gordon, Marianne Wallis, and Paul A. Scuffham, "Cost-effectiveness analysis of clinically indicated versus routine replacement of peripheral intravenous catheters," *Applied Health Economics and Health Policy* 12, no. 1 (2014): 51-58, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40258-013-0077-2>.

41. Johannes Westman, Sergio Grinstein, and Pedro Elias Marques, "Phagocytosis of necrotic debris at sites of injury and inflammation," *Frontiers in Immunology* 10 (2020): 3030, <https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2019.03030>.



**Solventum Medical
Surgical**

Phone 800-228-3957
Web [Solventum.com](https://www.solventum.com)

© Solventum 2026. Solventum and the S logo are trademarks of Solventum or its affiliates. All other trademarks are owned by their respective owners.

70-2013-1553-1